## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Examiner objects to the drawings because in FIGURE 3, the signal ISIG1 that is parallel to IFIX2 should read -- ISIG2, the signal VC1 that goes through transistors MN7 should read VC2 and the signal that goes to transistors MN6 and MN 8 should read VC1.-- A replacement sheet is enclosed herewith in which these changes have made. A proposed corrected drawing is enclosed herewith for the Examiner's approval. Applicant will submit formal drawings when the Examiner has approved these drawing changes.

The Examiner rejects claims 1-3, 11-13, 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Kato or as anticipated Srinivasan, et al. or by Luo, et al. The Examiner states that claims 5, 7-9, 10, 14, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon or rejected base claim, but will be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, Applicant's have added the subject matter of Claim 5 into Claim 1, and the subject matter of Claim 1 into Claim 7, added the subject matter of Claim 14 into Claim 12, and added the subject matter of Claim 19 into Claim 16 in order to produce allowable claims.

S/N 10/748,986 Page 7 of 8

Accordingly, Applicants believe the Application, as amended, is in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Texas Instruments Incorporated

William B. Kempler

Senior Corporate Patent Counsel

Reg. No. 28,228 (972) 917-5452